

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY
HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Section 19.84 Wis. Stats., a Regular & Budget meeting of the **Brown County Human Services Committee** was held on Wednesday, October 22, 2008 at 5:00 p.m. at ASPIRO, 1673 Dousman Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin

Present: Pat Evans, Jesse Brunette, Julie Knier, Rich Langan, Tom Lund, Pat LaViolette, Steve Fewell
Also Present: Supervisors Andy Williams, Bill Clancy, Jack Krueger, Carole Andrews, Andy Nicholson, Bernie Erickson, Guy Zima, Dave Kaster, Tom Hinz, Jayme Sellen, Sara Perrizo, Andrea Konrath, Debbie Klarkowski, Lynn Vanden Langenberg, Heidi Hietpas, Carolyn Maricque, Mark Quam, Diane Pivonka, Sunny Archambault, Barb Natelle, Judy Frederichs, John Paul, News Media and Other Interested Parties.

I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Pat Evans at 5:07 p.m.

II. APPROVE/MODIFY AGENDA:

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNIER TO APPROVE. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

III. APPROVE/MODIFY MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2008:

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR BRUNETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LUND TO APPROVE. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

REGULAR PART OF MEETING FROM 5:00 – 5:30 P.M.

1. REVIEW MINUTES OF:

- a) HUMAN SERVICES BOARD (9/18/08).
- b) HOMELESS ISSUES & AFFORDABLE HOUSING SUB COMMITTEE (9/16/08).
- c) VETERANS' RECOGNITION SUB COMMITTEE (9/16/08).
- d) CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION BOARD (9/16/08).
- e) AGING & DISABILITY RESOURCE CENTER (9/25/08).

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BRUNETTE TO RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE ITEMS 1A-E. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC/SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

Comments from the public were taken out of order and were taken after item 4a, although shown in proper format here.

Judge Donald Zuidmulder - Brown County Circuit Court

Zuidmulder came forward and thanked the committee for the opportunity to address them and urged for the committee to act favorably on the corporation in the budget for a drug court. He stated he became engaged in this about three years ago at a conference in which the concept was discussed. A drug court has been established in Winnebago County and is very successful.

A segment of the population has been identified of non-violent offenders who engage in property crimes and these crimes are driven by an addictive condition, breaking into property to steal property to buy drugs. The traditional method had been to arrest those people, put them on probation until they can get an AODA assessment done. A problem in the criminal justice system is many times there is a violation but it may take months before there is a consequence to that. In his experience with the justice system, offenders start at a certain level and then go up, starting with probation, then to jail, and from jail to prison. All the tools available to the criminal justice system have been used. This population comes back and repeats out of 70% recidivism. Zuidmulder questioned, do they keep recycling them through probation or into jails. Jail costs are \$54 a day and \$3,000 per year.

Zuidmulder stated he would be making himself available to provide the court part of the Drug Court and will meet with the people in the program every Friday afternoon. There would be a team to evaluate how a person is doing, have they been clean, and if they are maintaining employment. If they are backsliding, he will have the immediate opportunity to put in sanctions. Behavioral Scientists state that what works better in the system is that for the first time there is a person in authority telling these people they are doing well or they are proud, they can make something of their life, and they are doing something right. The positive feedback is almost more important to a successful completion of the Drug Court than the punishment. The National statistics show that recidivism rates for people in the Drug Courts are ½ of the recidivism rates for other offenses.

Mike Duschene – ASPIRO Executive Director

Duschene came forward, welcomed everyone and thanked the committee for hosting their meeting at ASPIRO. He informed the committee that they can not do what they do without the funding they receive from Brown County. He stated by definition, the people they have the privilege to serve have life long conditions and learning impairments. They are very fortunate to receive 2% increases over the past few years. However, Duschene wanted to bring this to the committee's attention that when looking over their funding for 2009, there is a big discrepancy between their actual costs and what they are able to recoup from their funding sources. He stated they are doing things internally to address it and are looking at doing some additional fundraising and at other funding sources.

A couple of people came forward to thank Brown County and ASPIRO and informed the committee of how the services at ASPIRO have helped them in their everyday life.

Lois Pulvermacher – JOSHUA Tip Chairperson

Pulvermacher and other Justice Organization Sharing Hope & United for Action (JOSHUA) supporters came forward in support of the Drug Court. JOSHUA is an interfaith coalition of faith communities joined together to bridge differences and focus on common values to address community concerns. Pulvermacher reiterated what Judge Zuidmulder had stated and expounded by giving examples of all the public support for the drug court.

Jeanie Reimer – Family Association

Reimer came forward and stated that she appreciated the opportunity to ask for support for the Child Advocacy Center. She had the opportunity to speak with the committee in August in regards to the proposal in place to open a child advocacy center in 2009. Reimer reported they have had great success in getting this to be a community project and not just a Family Services or a County project. They are in the midst of a capital campaign and have raised over a million dollars. Their goal is \$2.5 to \$3 million.

Randy Lawton – Bay Area Community Counsel President

Lawton stated he was present to ask for support of the Drug Court from the committee. The Bay Area Community Counsel (BACC) is in the process of studying alcohol and drug tendencies in Brown County and they are focusing on what they can do about it.

REPORT FROM HUMAN SERVICES CHAIR, PATRICK EVANS: No report.

COMMUNICATIONS

2. **COMMUNICATION FROM SUPERVISOR ANDY NICHOLSON RE: REVIEW THE BROWN COUNTY ODOR ORDINANCE. (HELD FROM PREVIOUS MEETING.)**

Supervisor Nicholson came forward and stated he believed the odor ordinance of Brown County that was established in the early 1970's was very vague. Over two years ago he had brought forward some changes to the ordinance that he felt were enforcement friendly. Recommendations had been made that there had to be three substantiated calls in order to have a sanitarian enforce the ordinance. Since then, there had been issues with the enforcement. Nicholson proposed three ideas to the committee and asked for their opinion with the direction to move forward. Nicholson would like to propose: 1) A fee is charged each time a complaint is made regarding the odor from an industrial or commercial source to make it part of the County ordinance. 2) As part of the ordinance, charge a service call fee when a response by a county employee is required to address the complaints. If sanitarians are being sent out over and over to the same establishment, it gets expensive. 3) In the Code of Ordinances from Chapter 38.01 (4)(2)(ii), it states, "The Brown County Health Department shall issue a citation when the Health Department receives and verifies three verifiable complaints from individuals representing separate properties within Brown County within an eight-hour period relating to a single odor description." Nicholson would like this changed to state two verifiable complaints instead of three.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LANGAN TO REFER TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR REVIEW, COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND SEND IT TO BOARD ATTORNEY, FRED MOHR, FOR HIS RECOMMENDATIONS. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

3. NAMING OF THE NEW MENTAL HEALTH CENTER:

Supervisor Evans stated a committee had been set up to come up with a name for the New Mental Health Center and after 10 months of different meetings and several different names, the name, Brown County Community Treatment Center (CTC) had been chosen. It had been decided due to the community involvement and secondly, a portion of the clientele does not have a mental illness.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE TO APPROVE THE CHANGE OF NAME OF THE MENTAL HEALTH CENTER TO BROWN COUNTY COMMUNITY TREATMENT CENTER AND HAVE IT FORWARDED TO THE COUNTY BOARD IN RESOLUTION FORM. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

#3A COMMUNICATION FROM SUPERVISOR SCRAY RE: REQUEST THAT EACH DEPARTMENT DEVELOP A SUCCESSION AND AN EMERGENCY OPERATION PLAN. THIS WOULD ENABLE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO REMAIN OPERATIONAL IN CASE OF RETIREMENT OR EMERGENCY (REFERRED FROM OCTOBER COUNTY BOARD):

Supervisor Lund stated he will vote in favor but believed there are already plans in every department, if someone were to leave, the person second in command might not be the person that ultimately takes over the department and the succession plan would be to go out and find a new employee. He stated Human Resources would take care of it and each department would handle it in their own way.

Supervisor La Violette stated to her knowledge there are no succession plans in place in Brown County departments and is in support. She believed the intent of the resolution was to encourage department heads to make sure there is cross training going on in the department. There should be a list of the critical duties and the names of people who can perform the function.

Supervisor Evans stated the word succession makes him nervous when talking about Government because of certain laws with equal employment. A succession plan designates a position to a person within a department to take over and it can not be done in Public Government. He is in support with the precedence that it should be considered under operations management and not a succession plan. Evans felt this fell under the County Executives purview and not the County Boards stating it was management of people and is an Administration issue.

Internal Auditor, Sara Perrizo, stated at the Education and Recreation meeting,

Supervisor Scray suggested that each department develop a Succession and Emergency Operation Plan to avoid what happened in the 911 Center when an employee left his position and no one else could perform the duties. Scray stated that it was important that there are others who are cross-trained in case of vacancy, emergency, or retirement in critical positions.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNIER TO APPROVE. No vote taken.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUBSTITUTION BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE TO SEND TO THE HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR REVIEW. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Supervisor La Violette would like a report back from the Human Resources Department by February 2009.

HUMAN SERVICES DEPT.

4. REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR CONSULTANT FOR COMPREHENSIVE ELECTRONIC RECORD:
A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE TO APPROVE REQUEST. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

#4A RESOLUTION RE: APPROVING NEW OR DELETED POSITIONS DURING THE 2009 BUDGET PROCESS. (AMENDED OCTOBER 20, 2008). REFERRED TO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LANGAN TO APPROVE THE NEW OR DELETED POSITIONS DURING THE 2009 BUDGET PROCESS. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

*At this time the committee took a five minute break.
Supervisor Fewell arrived at 5:42 p.m.*

****BUDGET REVIEW****
REVIEW OF 2009 DEPARTMENT BUDGETS

Comments from the Public were taken at this time.

SYBLE HOPP SCHOOL (BARBARA NATELLE)

5. REVIEW OF 2009 DEPARTMENT BUDGET.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR FEWELL AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE TO APPROVE. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Fewell stated the former County Executive forced Syble Hopp to use their needed reserve funds and there is a problem with needing to re-establish them. It had been recommended by Syble Hopp's auditor (Schenck) that the funds

should be reestablished by at least another \$500,000 to \$600,000. There are budgetary issues currently partly because there are no reserve funds to cover it. It causes problems with budgeting and administration. He felt they should put \$200,000 in a year until the funds are established.

Syble Hopp School Administrator, Barb Natelle, came forward and stated that part of their budget issue is that they operate on different fiscal years.

Director of Administration, Lynn Vanden Langenberg provided a handout (attached) re: Syble Hopp Financial Statements and spoke in regards to it.

Lund questioned how much it will cost people in the area that support Syble Hopp with the addition of \$200,000 to the tax levy. Perrizo stated on a \$100,000 home, there would be a \$1.11 tax increase.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR FEWELL AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE TO ADD \$200,000 TO THE RESERVE FUNDS FOR SYBLE HOPP. Vote taken. Ayes: 5) Fewell, Lund, Knier, La Violette, Langan. Abstain: 2) Brunette, Evans.

VETERANS' SERVICES (JERRY POLUS)

6. REVIEW OF 2009 DEPARTMENT BUDGET.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY FEWELL LUND TO APPROVE BUDGET. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

AGING & DISABILITY RESOURCE CENTER (SUNNY ARCHAMBAULT)

7. REVIEW OF 2009 DEPARTMENT BUDGET.

Aging & Disability Resource Director, Sunny Archambault, came forward and explained that there was a 39 cent increase in meal costs and meal delivery costs will be increased to 50.5 cents per mile.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LANGAN TO APPROVE BUDGET. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT (JUDY FREDERICHs)

8. REVIEW OF 2009 DEPARTMENT BUDGET.

Health Department Director, Judy Frederichs, came forward and stated they were given a budget target and over the years in their operating expenses had gotten tight so they had chosen to take \$1,500 out of the budget that were used for security and an armored car used during their peak time in their licensing program.

A lengthy discussion ensued in regards to the \$1,000 taken out of the budget used for a security panic alarm. Hinz felt that the current security alerting system may be more of liability due to the recent problems of no response when the button had been pushed. He felt departments may benefit more from security

training. If the funding were to be placed back into the budget, it should be an entire county initiative but at this time he recommended keeping the funding out.

Frederichs stated that they do training with their staff often regarding how to act and respond in emergencies. They have a safety committee that looks at the situations and figures how to make changes in their department. There are tip sheets with their policies and procedures stating ways to act and not aggravate people and how to be alert to situations that may be unsafe.

Supervisor Scray stated she was under the impression the system wasn't the best right now and felt they shouldn't be funding something until they come up with a security plan.

Supervisor Lund stated he put in a communication for security for all of the workers in Brown County.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR KNIER AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LUND TO ADD THE FUNDING BACK FOR SECURITY AT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS A BETTER SYSTEM IS IN PLACE. Vote taken. Ayes: 4) Langan, Brunette, Knier, Lund. Nays: 3) Fewell, Evans, La Violette. MOTION PASSED.

Supervisor La Violette stated she was concerned that they had cut out all of their conference and training budget and believed it is very important to the Health Department staff to keep up with the latest information in their field.

Supervisor Erickson felt it may not be a bad thing to cut back on costs for travel for the training since so many things are offered online for minimal or no cost.

Frederichs clarified that the travel and conference line item remaining was for staff mileage. What was cut was all of the conference amount. 90% of their staff is out on the road providing services to the county and that is what those dollars are used for. In response to questions, she stated that they could do some training online and currently use a lot of opportunities for continuing education that are made available for free, some through State and limited grants. However, there is some training that they can not obtain online where they have to pay registration fees before staff is able to obtain information or able to interact with more experienced people. Currently where these are funded, they designate a certain amount for each staff member so it is equal across classifications.

Supervisor Fewell felt they should be spending the money for education in Brown County for travel conference and training and, if it is not available in Brown, look throughout Wisconsin before obtaining it from outside the State. He believed there should be some sort of conference policy. He also felt that not all online education is necessarily inexpensive either and there are times when they will have to leave the State for training.

Supervisor Lund would like a breakdown of what training courses they would be attending in a year so that there is a better understanding of what needs to be done. He felt travel for the job needs to be separate from travel for training and

conferences and would like a breakdown from each department for the budget hearing.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LANGAN TO ADD \$5,000 IN THE BUDGET FOR TRAVEL CONFERENCE AND TRAINING. Vote taken. Ayes: 3) La Violette, Langan, Fewell. Nays: 4) Lund, Evans, Brunette, Knier. MOTION FAILED.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LUND TO APPROVE BUDGET AS AMENDED. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT (MARK QUAM)

9. **REVIEW OF 2009 DEPARTMENT BUDGET.**

Supervisor Lund felt there is a great need for two more Child Abuse Investigators and would be for taking it out of the levy.

Supervisor Langan stated he attended a Human Services Board meeting where they had discussed the increase of child abuse in Brown County. There was a unanimous decision to put two Child Abuse Investigators into the budget and those positions had since disappeared. He doesn't understand how new projects can be started when they can't bring their own investigation statistics or do a better job for the children of Brown County.

Supervisor Fewell believed the fundamental problem in the area of child abuse is the fact that investigators and police are coming up with information and for some reason the prosecutor's office is taking plea bargain agreements on child abuse cases rather than an enforced felony.

Supervisor Krueger stated when he worked for the Green Bay Schools, they filed reports required by law and a majority of the cases came back screened out. There was a meeting held in regards to screening out and they were appalled to find out that until it was a habitual report, little was done because they didn't have time to do any better.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LANGAN AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNIER TO ADD TWO SOCIAL WORKERS FOR A COST OF \$125,928. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Supervisors La Violette and Knier stated they are in support of the Drug Court.

Supervisor Langan had stated after soul searching and with all the people who have come forward to volunteer and who had worked so hard on drug court, he removed his opposition and is in support of the Drug Court.

Supervisor Evans stated he was an opponent of the drug court but after doing some research and speaking with numerous people he is in support and is looking at the County Board to make a three year commitment. He felt in doing this they would make a statement and it would give time to get the program

rolling and time to evaluation the program. He felt that looking at what the results are, how it changes people's lives for the better, and the investment being a massive savings as far as what it cost to prevent people from going into court to being incarcerated. Evans believed the program will be of a benefit to everyone in Brown County.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNIER TO APPROVE DRUG COURT. Roll call: Ayes; 7) Fewell, Lund, La Violette, Evans, Knier, Brunette, Langan. Nays; 0. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Chairman Evans stated the Child Advocacy Center had not been placed into the budget but that it would be an item added in. He stated he'd prefer to look at taking the funding for the center out of carryover funds. There had been initial talk of \$100,000 for the center but because of budget issues they were moving towards a \$50,000 grant. County Executive Hinz brought forward and President of Family Services Association, Tom Horton, had agreed on the \$50,000 but also using the proceeds earned for child advocacy from the Annual Brown County Golf Outing to be put towards the Child Advocacy Center. Evans believed it is important to make a statement supporting the center and to note that the contributions are made on behalf of the agencies in Brown County and not just from this committee.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BRUNETTE TO APPROVE THE EXPENDITURE OF \$50,000 FOR A CHILD ADVOCACY CENTER FROM THE FUND BALANCE. No vote taken.

Supervisor Fewell stated he didn't like the precedence in taking money out of a fund balance. He stated if they are going to fund something appropriately, it should be funded with dollars and questioned if they were under the levy as far as spending. He felt it was something that should be added to the budget since it was an ongoing expenditure.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUBSTITUTION BY SUPERVISOR FEWELL AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BRUNETTE TO FUND \$50,000 FOR THE CHILD ADVOCACY CENTER AS A REGULAR BUDGET ITEM. Vote taken: Ayes; 1) Fewell. Nays; 6) Lund, La Violette, Evans, Knier, Brunette, Langan. Motion failed.

Supervisor Lund questioned if they have three million dollars in the fund balance that are not being used, why not use it so the levy doesn't go up and budget for the Child Advocacy Center in the future. He assumed the economy is going to turn around in the next few years and believed it's the best time to use the fund balance so it won't increase people's taxes.

Quam stated the fund balance was money unspent from prior years to be used for future uses and services. He believed the dollar amount being talked about is modest and wouldn't object to the committee's decision of where the money came from.

Supervisors Brunette, Knier and Langan all spoke in support of the Child Advocacy Center.

Supervisor Scray is in favor of taking the funds out of the fund balance stating there are three million tax dollars sitting in a fund that could be used. To be taxed again for another \$50,000 she felt would not be responsible stating people are worried about the economy. She is in support of starting this program up and believed it is very valuable.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BRUNETTE TO APPROVE THE EXPENDITURE OF \$50,000 FOR A CHILD ADVOCACY CENTER FROM THE FUND BALANCE. Vote taken: Ayes; 6) Lund, La Violette, Evans, Knier, Brunette, Langan. Nays; 1) Fewell. Motion carried.

Supervisor Zima came forward and referred to page 159 in the budget book. He stated there had been a controversy in the middle of the last administration where they took all the contracted services and put it into one line item. There was no indication of what was allocated and for what programs, etc. Zima stated there is some detail on pg 159 but they don't have the details on what contracts are being contemplated. He felt it was unfair to contract agencies. They need to know ahead of time what Administration is planning for them.

Zima and Vice-Chair Scray are in the process of getting a policy before the County Board to get a handle on contract services. Before Administration can use a contract service it had to be designated or they would have to come to the standing committee stating how they want to use it and get approval on a policy basis. It would then have to go to the County Board for approval. Zima's request of the committee is that before they meet, they receive a copy of what programs are going to be funded and the amount estimated for it.

Supervisors Lund, Evans, Krueger and Fewell stated they all agreed with Supervisor Zima. Krueger would like a breakdown five days before the budget meetings. Fewell stated when they pass the budget book they are only obligated to what the budget book stated and the breakdowns that are included in the Human Services budget book should be included in the books the Supervisors receive.

Quam agreed to mail out the breakdown pages from the Human Services budget book to all the supervisors by Friday.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR FEWELL AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LUND TO INCLUDE THE PAGES FROM THE HUMAN SERVICES BUDGET BOOK IN THE BUDGET BOOK. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Quam referred to page 155 in the budget book stating they had been going back and forth with Human Resources and the Department of Administration in regards to the right total number is for the Nursing Assistant. He stated they are still short by their estimations and would prefer to be at a unit rate of 61, not adding money but add to the head count. The levy shows they are short about

16.8 for Nursing Assistants for the volume of high intensity patients going there right now.

Supervisor Lund suggested filling positions and taking the money out of overtime and temporary budgets. Temporary costs are almost as much as overtime costs.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BRUNETTE TO HIRE TWO CNAS AND TAKE MONEY FROM THE TEMPORARY HELP LINE BUDGET FOR A COST OF \$98,998. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LA VIOLETTE AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR LUND TO APPROVE BUDGET AS AMENDED. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

OTHER

10. AUDIT OF BILLS.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SUPERVISOR LUND AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BRUNETTE TO APPROVE AUDIT OF BILLS. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Motion made by Supervisor Fewell and seconded by Supervisor Lund to adjourn at 8:25 p.m. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Respectfully submitted,

Alicia A. Loehlein,
Recording Secretary